



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/435,657	11/08/1999	JOHN L. TOMICH	19447-P001CI	5515
27964 75	590 04/15/2003			·
HITT GAINES & BOISBRUN P.C.			EXAMINER `	
P.O. BOX 8325 RICHARDSON			JONES, PRI	ENELL P
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2664	7
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2003	ð

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Application No. **09/435,657**

Applicant(s)

Tomich et al.

# Office Action Summary

Examiner

Prenell Jones

Art Unit 2664

Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 30, 2002  2a)  This action is FINAL.  2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s)   Claim(s)   Claim(s)   1-62  is/are pending in the application.  5)  Claim(s)   Claim(s)   16-62  is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s)  16-62  is/are rejected.			
mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) ▼ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 30, 2002  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) ▼ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ▼ Claim(s) 1-62			
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) ▼ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 30, 2002  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ▼ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ▼ Claim(s) 1-62			
1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 30, 2002  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☒ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 16-62 is/are rejected.			
2a) □ This action is FINAL.       2b) ☒ This action is non-final.         3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.         Disposition of Claims       is/are pending in the application.         4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-15			
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-62 is/are rejected.			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 16-62 is/are rejected.			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 16-62 is/are rejected.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 16-62 is/are rejected.			
5) ☐ Claim(s)			
6) X Claim(s) 16-62 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement	t.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examin	ner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some* c) None of:			
1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.			
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)  1) V Notice of References Cited (RTO-993)  7			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
3) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Neview (P10-946)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No(s)4			

Application No. 09/435,657

Applicant(s)

Tomich et al.

Interview Summary Examiner

Prenell Jones

Art Unit 2664

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Prenell Jones	(3)			
(2) Raffi Gostanian	(4)			
Date of Interview Apr 11, 2003	-			
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: 1-62				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached	. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or			
After being informed by Examiner of the restriction/election				
Representative elected claims (Group 2) 16-62 to be exam	med.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is			
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).			
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO	AL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST EP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE d of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

09435657

Art Unit:

2664

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-15, drawn to determining address field associated with a multimedia network that includes a data distributer having relational code which determines whether a data stream is intended for local distribution, classified in class 370, subclass 242-249, 342, 389-398, 420, 474-476 and class 375, subclass 240, and class 345, subclass 73, 157, 173, 503, 531, 553, 565.
  - II. Claims 16-62, drawn to a photonic area network that distributes bandwidth segments to multiple external networks associated with wide signal bandwidth multichannel access, classified in class 385, subclass 33, 52, 147 and class 359, subclass 110, 119, 123, 124, 128, 136, 173.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons. Inventions I and II are related as combination and sub-combination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the sub-combination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the sub-combination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the sub-combination as claimed because transport packet parser can be associated with any receiver and does not

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09435657 Page 3

Art Unit: 2664

require the particulars of the receiver as claimed in claims. The sub-combination has separate utility such as the integrated receiver is a separate device from the claimed transport packet parser.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Applicants' Representative (Raffi Gostanian) on April 10, 2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 16-62. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-15 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventor-ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor-ship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

## **Double Patenting**

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or

Application/Control Number:

09435657

Art Unit:

2664

improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 4

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 16-62 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 5,983,068. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to manipulate the limitations in claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent 5,983,068 to produce the claimed invention associated with claims 16-62 the present application (09/435,657).

Application/Control Number:

09435657

Art Unit:

2664

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prenell Jones whose telephone number is (703) 305-0630. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 to 4:30.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin, can be reached on (703) 305-4366. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)873-9314

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

April 11, 2009